

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/468,015		12/20/1999	DIETMAR EGGERT	F71989US	3122	
23720	7590 05/14/2004			EXAMINER		
		GAN & AMERSON	N, P.C.			
	HMOND, S V, TX 770	SUITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/468,015	EGGERT ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kim Huynh	2182	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>29 March 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

١.	Ц	heading or in the proper order.					
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).					
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).					
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).					
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).					
6.	$\boxtimes$	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and					
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.					
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.					
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).					
8.	$\boxtimes$	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).					
9.	$\boxtimes$	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):					
		The sumary of the invention does not refer to the specification by page and line number.					
		The brief also fails to provide separate argument why the claims subject to the same rejection are separtely petnetable, merely pointing out differences in what the claims cover is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable.					

Claim 1, the limitation "at least one via ... low pass filter" is not part of the finally rejected claim

KIM HUYNH PRIMARY EXAMINER